$$a = 12.600 (3) \text{ Å}$$
 $\theta = 11-18^{\circ}$
 $b = 7.258 (1) \text{ Å}$
 $\mu = 0.299 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $c = 6.162 (1) \text{ Å}$
 $T = 293 (2) \text{ K}$
 $\beta = 114.49 (3)^{\circ}$
 Transparent plate

 $V = 512.8 (2) \text{ Å}^3$
 $0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$
 $Z = 2$
 Colourless

 $D_x = 1.698 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

 D_m not measured

Data collection

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 31.06^{\circ}$ Kuma KM-4 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans $h = -18 \rightarrow 18$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$ Absorption correction: none 2941 measured reflections $l = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 855 independent reflections 2 standard reflections 763 observed reflections monitored every 50 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections intensity decay: 0.5% $R_{\rm int} = 0.0378$

Refinement

Si FI

F2

N2

Cl

NI

Refinement on F^2	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.328 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$
R(F) = 0.0304	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -0.201 e Å ⁻³
$wR(F^2) = 0.0620$	Extinction correction:
S = 1.040	SHELXL93 (Sheldrick,
855 reflections	1993)
54 parameters	Extinction coefficient:
H-atom parameters refined	0.049 (2)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0003P)^2$	Atomic scattering factors
+ 0.48P]	from International Tables
where $P = (F_{a}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	for Crystallography (1992,
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	Vol. C)
•	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

0.2735(4)

0.2692 (3)

0.2703(2)

0.0408 (4)

0.0293 (3)

0.0371 (3)

0

0

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

0.1579 (2)

	0	-	
Si—F2	1.6805 (12)	N2C1	1.326(2)
Si—F1	1.6833 (8)	C1N1	1.3203 (14)
F2—Si—F2'	180.0	F1'-Si-F1	180.0
F2Si-F1'	89.61 (4)	N1-C1-N1"	120.5 (2)
F2SiF1	90.39 (4)	N1-C1-N2	119.73 (8)
Symmetry codes:	(i) $-r - v - z$	i) r 7	

Symmetry codes: (1) -x, -y, -z; (11) x, -y, z.

* 7

0.61924 (15)

0.72359 (14)

0.77580(11)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$
N1—H11···F2 ⁱ	2.08 (2)	2.901 (2)	171 (2)
N1—H12···F1 ⁱⁱ	2.08 (2)	2.908 (2)	167 (2)
N2—H21···F1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.05 (2)	2.891(1)	172 (2)
Symmetry codes: (i)	$\frac{1}{5} + x, \frac{1}{7} + y, z;$ (ii)	1 + x, y, z; (iii)	$\frac{1}{2}$ + x, y - $\frac{1}{2}$, z.

Data collection: KM-4 software (version 7.1). Cell refinement: KM-4 software (version 7.1). Data reduction: KM-4 software (version 7.1). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1991). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

The author is grateful to Professor Z. Czapla (Institute of Experimental Physics, Wrocław University) for the crystal sample and to M. Drozd (Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences) for the DSC measurements.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1247). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

• •

- Adams, J. M. & Small, R. W. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2191-2193. Calov, U., Schneider, M. & Leibnitz, P. (1991). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.
- 604, 77-83.
- Doudin, B. & Chapuis, G. (1988). Acta Cryst. B44, 495-502.
- Katrusiak, A. & Szafranski, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1161-1163.
- Miyazaki, A., Irokawa, K., Komukae, M., Osaka, T. & Makita, Y. (1991). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 60, 3596-3599.
- Morimoto, C. N. & Lingafelter, E. C. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 335-341.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Waśkowska, A., Czapla, Z. & Janczak, J. (1993). J. Alloys Compd. 196, 255-257.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 130-132

2-Methylperimidinium Acetate Monohydrate

S. Patnaik,^a Kodumuru Vishnumurthy,^b Malayalam Sridhar,^b Sosale Chandrasekhar,^b K. Usha^a and T. N. GURU $Row^{a,b}$

^aSolid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India, ^bOrganic Chemistry Department, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India. E-mail: ssctng@sscu.iisc.ernet.in

(Received 30 September 1996; accepted 8 October 1996)

Abstract

The title compound, $C_{12}H_{11}N_2^{\dagger}.C_2H_3O_2^{-}.H_2O_1$, is a hydrated salt of 2-methylperimidinium acetate. The 2-methylperimidinium cations are protonated at the N atoms. In the acetate anions, the C-O bond distances are 1.238 (3) and 1.265 (3) Å. A hydrogen-bonding network allows the self assembly of the ionic counterparts, together with the water of hydration, along the c axis.

Comment

This X-ray diffraction study was carried out in order to determine unambiguously the nature of the structure of the title compound, (I), at room temperature.



The C—C bond distances in the perimidinium moiety range between 1.356 (4) and 1.417 (4) Å, while the C---N distances are in the range 1.315(4)-1.409(3) Å. The least-squares calculation reveals that the perimidinium moiety and the acetate group each adopt planar conformations. The C—O distances in the acetate are 1.238 (3)



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) diagram of (I) with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 40% probability level.



Fig. 2. Packing of the molecules in the unit cell shown down the b axis.

and 1.265 (3) Å. The crystal structure is held together by hydrogen bonds of the N— $H \cdots O$ and O— $H \cdots O$ types (Table 3). Inversion-related perimidinium planes are stacked along the b axis, with plane-to-plane separations of 3.493 (5) and 3.401 (4) Å.

Experimental

A solution of 1.8-diaminonaphthalene (6.33 mmol, 1 g) in ether (20 ml) at 273 K was treated with acetic anhydride (13 mmol. 1.326 g) in ether (20 ml) at such a rate that the ether refluxed gently. The resulting yellow precipitate was stirred for 15 min, filtered off, washed with ether and recrystallized from chloroform. Suitable crystals (m.p. 367-369 K) were isolated by slow evaporation from chloroform.

> Cu K_{α} radiation $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å

> > reflections

 $\mu = 0.773 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $0.6 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ mm

T = 293 (2) K

 $\theta = 7 - 26^{\circ}$

Needle

Yellow

Cell parameters from 25

Crystal data

$C_{12}H_{11}N_2^+.C_2H_3O_2^H_2O$
$M_r = 260.29$
Monoclinic
$P2_{1}/c$
a = 8.480(2) Å
b = 7.436(2) Å
c = 20.783(2) Å
$\beta = 93.50 (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 1308.1(5) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.322 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
D_m not measured

Data collection

Enraf Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 2051 measured reflections 1910 independent reflections 1500 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.0270$

Refinement

- Refinement on F^2 R(F) = 0.0531 $wR(F^2) = 0.1656$ S = 1.0931900 reflections 169 parameters H atoms refined using a riding model $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0671P)^2]$ + 1.0843P] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
- $\theta_{\rm max} = 60.04^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -23 \rightarrow 23$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 99 reflections intensity decay: none $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
- $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.264 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.278 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)
- Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
N1	0.1189 (3)	0.1447 (3)	0.41911 (11)	0.0444 (6)
N2	-0.1388 (3)	0.2392 (3)	0.41091 (10)	0.0432 (6)
CI	-0.0145 (3)	0.1723 (4)	0.38452 (13)	0.0436(7)

C2	-0.1373 (3)	0.2843 (4)	0.47678 (13)	0.0411 (7)
C3	0.0062 (3)	0.2610(4)	0.51362 (12)	0.0398 (7)
C4	0.1387 (3)	0.1887 (4)	0.48489 (13)	0.0425 (7)
C5	0.2797 (4)	0.1658 (4)	0.51948 (15)	0.0529 (8)
C6	0.2906 (4)	0.2180 (5)	0.5846(2)	0.0606 (9)
C7	0.1649 (4)	0.2875 (4)	0.61389 (15)	0.0576 (9)
C8	0.0176 (4)	0.3088 (4)	0.57953 (13)	0.0467 (7)
C9	-0.1201 (4)	0.3771 (4)	0.60619 (14)	0.0557 (8)
C10	-0.2570 (4)	0.3957 (5)	0.56952 (15)	0.0573 (8)
C11	-0.2681 (3)	0.3501 (4)	0.50381 (14)	0.0506 (8)
C12	-0.0239 (4)	0.1291 (5)	0.31447 (14)	0.0577 (9)
01	0.5870(2)	0.2011 (3)	0.84757 (9)	0.0587 (6)
O2	0.6843 (2)	0.0797 (3)	0.76138 (11)	0.0656 (7)
C13	0.5721 (3)	0.1223 (4)	0.79357 (14)	0.0476 (7)
C14	0.4064 (4)	0.0808 (5)	0.7681 (2)	0.0645 (9)
01 <i>W</i>	0.3749 (2)	0.0269(3)	0.36254 (10)	0.0582 (6)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

NI-CI	1.318 (3)	C5—C6	1.406 (4)
N1C4	1.406(3)	C6—C7	1.360 (5)
N2-C1	1.315 (4)	C7—C8	1.410 (4)
N2C2	1.409 (3)	C8C9	1.417 (4)
C1-C12	1.488 (4)	C9-C10	1.356 (4)
C2C11	1.365 (4)	C10-C11	1.405 (4)
C2C3	1.409 (4)	01—C13	1.265 (3)
C3—C4	1.410(4)	O2C13	1.238 (3)
C3C8	1.413 (4)	C13—C14	1.504 (4)
C4—C5	1.368 (4)		
C1—N1—C4	122.8 (2)	N1C4C3	116.7 (2)
C1—N2—C2	122.4 (2)	C4—C5—C6	118.4 (3)
N2C1N1	120.9 (2)	C7C6C5	121.9 (3)
N2-C1-C12	119.5 (2)	C6C7C8	120.7 (3)
N1-C1-C12	119.5 (3)	C7—C8—C3	117.9 (3)
C11—C2—N2	121.8 (2)	C7—C8—C9	124.6 (3)
C11-C2-C3	121.2 (3)	C3-C8C9	117.4 (3)
N2C2C3	117.0(2)	C10-C9-C8	120.9 (3)
C2—C3—C4	120.1 (2)	C9-C10-C11	121.8 (3)
C2—C3—C8	120.1 (3)	C2C11C10	118.6 (3)
C4—C3—C8	119.9 (3)	O2-C13-O1	124.0 (3)
C5-C4N1	122.0(3)	O2-C13-C14	119.3 (3)
C5-C4-C3	121.2 (3)	O1C13C14	116.6 (3)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	<i>D</i> H	HA	$D \cdots A$	$D = H \cdots A$
N1—H1···O1W	0.86	1.82	2.678 (3)	173
N2H2···O1 ⁱ	0.86	1.78	2.639(3)	172
01 <i>₩</i> —H1 <i>₩</i> ···O1"	0.77	2.08	2.737 (3)	143
O1 <i>₩</i> —H2 <i>₩</i> ···O2 [™]	0.77	1.97	2.712 (3)	161
Symmetry codes: (i)	$x - 1, \frac{1}{2}$	$-y, z - \frac{1}{2};$	(ii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y,$	$z - \frac{1}{2};$ (iii)

Data collection: XSCANS (Fait, 1991). Cell refinement: XS-CANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: PLUT082 (Motherwell, Sussman & Beebf, 1982) and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93. Geometric calculations: PARST (Nardelli, 1983).

The authors thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and the University Grants Commission (UGC), India, for financial support.

© 1997 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

References

- Fait, J. (1991). XSCANS Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Motherwell, S., Sussman, J. L. & Beebf, N. H. F. (1982). PLUTO82. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. University of Cambridge, England.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of
- Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 132-134

N,*N*'-Bis(1-pyrenylmethyl)-1,4,10,13tetraoxa-7,16-diazacyclooctadecane

KANJI KUBO,^a NOBUO KATO^b AND TADAMITSU SAKURAI^a

^aDepartment of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, Kanagawa-ku, Yokohama 221, Japan, and ^bInstitute of Advanced Material Study 86, Kyushu University, Kasuga-koen, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816, Japan. E-mail: can@kamome.cc.kanagawa-u.ac.jp

(Received 23 July 1996; accepted 23 September 1996)

Abstract

Molecules of the title compound, $C_{46}H_{46}N_2O_4$, are centrosymmetric and the two pyrene rings are *anti* with respect to one another across the crown ether ring. No packing *via* π - π interaction between the pyrene planes is observed.

Comment

Charge-transfer (CT) molecular complexes have found wide application in the field of electronic devices as well as in chemistry. X-ray crystallographic studies of these complexes may provide direct information on the charge-transfer interaction in the ground and excited states (Winnik, 1993; Fabrizzi & Poggi, 1995; Petrov, Borisenko, Alfimov, Fiebig & Staerk, 1996). Interesting types of electron-acceptor and electron-donor molecules have recently been discovered which have aroused interest in CT complexes and their possible applications as chromoionophores and fluoroionophores (Löhr & Vögtle, 1985). It has been found that the azacrown ethers act as electron donors in a typical exciplex-forming system involving an appropriate electron acceptor (Petrov et al., 1996). We have investigated the complexation behavior of the title compound, (1), with various metal ions in the excited singlet state

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1235). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.